

"THE TRIAL OF JACK AND THE BEANSTALK – WILL JACK GET OFF?"

SUGGESTIONS FOR EXPLORING THE PODCAST ON YOUR OWN OR WITH A LISTENING COHORT:

- 1. CLOSE YOUR EYES AND WATCH EACH EPISODE USING "OPTIC INTROSPECTIVISION; THE HIGHEST DEFINITION IMAGINABLE" (UNLESS YOU ARE DRIVING).
- 2. AT THE END OF EACH EPISODE, USE THE LISTENING GUIDE TO EXAMINE THE CHARACTERS, CONCEPTS, AND MORAL ISSUES PORTRAYED ON YOUR OWN OR DISCUSS THEM WITH FELLOW LISTENERS.

...OR, JUST MOVE ON AND ENJOY THE NEXT EPISODE!



AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 1- OPENING COURT":


<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF <u>THE BAILIFF</u>, <u>CHATAUQUA LOUDEN</u>. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF THE JUDGE, THURGOOD LAWSON. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

SCHEMA - A MENTAL FRAMEWORK WHICH ORGANIZES OUR THOUGHTS AND BELIEFS AND INFLUENCES OUR PERCEPTION OF THE WORLD.

WHAT SCHEMA DOES JACK'S ATTORNEY JONNIE BAGADONATSA PRESENT FOR THE TRIAL?

WHAT SCHEMA DOES THE GIANT'S ATTORNEY CATHERWOOD KITCHNER KOCH PRESENT?



AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 2 - THE GIANT TESTIFIES":

<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF THE GIANT'S LAWYER.

<u>CATHERWOOD KITCHNER KOCH</u>. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

DESCRIBE THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF THE PLAINTIFF, PERCIFAL T, GIANT. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

PREJUDICE - PRECONCEIVED OPINION THAT IS NOT BASED ON REASON OR ACTUAL EXPERIENCE

DOES THE GIANT HAVE A CASE FOR DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON PREJUDICE?

COULD HIS TREATMENT BY JACK BE CONSIDERED A "HATE CRIME"?



<u>AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 3 – JULIET GIANT TESTIFIES":</u>

<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF JACK'S LAWYER, JONNIE BAGADONATSA. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).


DESCRIBE THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF THE GIANT'S WIFE, JULIET GIANT. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

OPTIC INTROSPECTIVISION - THE ULTRA HIGH DEFINITION IMAGES THAT OUR MIND'S EYE CREATES FROM OUR IMAGINATION.

HOW ACCURATE IS OPTIC INTROSPECTIVISION IN CREATING A TRUE IMAGE OF EVENTS?

IS JULIET GIANT (A.K.A. J-WOWSA) A VICTIM OR A PERPETRATOR?

DID JULIET GIANT'S TESTIMONY CHANGE YOUR PERCEPTION OF THE CHARGES AGAINST JACK?



<u>AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 4 – PONZY MILKEN TESTIFIES":</u>

<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF THE "MAGIC BEAN" BROKER, PONZY MILKEN. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

ATTRIBUTION - is the process by which individuals explain the causes of behavior and events.

IS PONZY MILKEN A BAD PERSON OR THE SURVIVOR OF A BAD SITUATION?

DOES ATTRIBUTION CONTRIBUTE TO STEREOTYPES AND PREJUDICE?



AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 5 - JACK TESTIFIES":

DESCRIBE THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF JACK SIMPLETON. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

PRELUCIDATION - is the emotional and mental preparation necessary to perceive accurately and act appropriately.

IS JACK SIMPLETON A BAD PERSON OR THE SURVIVOR OF A BAD SITUATION?

IS JACK SIMPLETON CAPABLE OF PRELUCIDATION AND THEREFORE GUILTY OF A CRIME?



AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 6 – SOPRANO SIMPLETON TESTIFIES":


<u>DESCRIBE</u> THE IMAGE THAT YOU CONJURED IN YOUR MIND'S EYE OF JACK'S MUM - SOPRANO SIMPLETON. COMPARE YOUR INNER IMAGE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE).

INTENT - ONE'S MENTAL ATTITUDE, INCLUDING PURPOSE, WILL, DETERMINATION, ETC., AT THE TIME OF DOING AN ACT.

IS SOPRANO SIMPLETON A BAD PERSON OR THE SURVIVOR OF A BAD SITUATION?

DID SOPRANO SIMPLETON INTEND TO COMMIT A CRIME BY SENDING JACK UP THE BEANSTALK TO STEAL?

WAS CHOPPING DOWN THE BEANSTALK, WITH THE GIANT ON IT, A CRIME MOTIVATED BY PREJUDICE?



AFTER LISTENING TO "EPISODE 7 – CLOSING ARGUMENTS":

RENDER YOUR VERDICT IN THE CASE OF "JACK AND THE BEANSTALK" – DELIBERATE WITH YOUR LISTENING COHORT (IF AVAILABLE) TO ARRIVE AT A CONSENSUS.

DETERMINE WHAT, IF ANY, PUNISHMENT JACK SHOULD RECEIVE

DETERMINE WHAT, IF ANY, COMPENSATION THE GIANTS ARE DUE

CONSIDER:

PERSPECTIVE - A SPECIFIC POINT OF VIEW IN UNDERSTANDING OR JUDGING THINGS OR EVENTS

FROM THE GIANT'S PERSPECTIVE, DID JACK COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST THEM?

FROM THE COURT'S PERSPECTIVE, DID JACK COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST THE GIANTS?

FROM JACK'S PERSPECTIVE, DID HE KNOWINGLY AND WILLINGLY COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST THE GIANTS?



